UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,707	05/02/2006	Tibor Pernecker	2006_0655A	3699
513 7590 05/21/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			EXAMINER	
			REDDY, KARUNA P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/577,707 PERNECKER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1796 KARUNA P. REDDY All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) KARUNA P. REDDY. (4)_____. (2) Mark Konieczny. Date of Interview: 15 May 2008. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 7 and 8. Identification of prior art discussed: Mallya et al (US 6, 489, 387 B2) and Richards (US 2004/0076785 A1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) Applicants contention is that the nature of surfactant in claim 8 is important to the invention. 2) Applicants intend to show unexpected results for Hitanol BC-2020 by comparing experiments using process of Mallya with the surfactants of Richard. 3) Examiner would consider the arguments and data when presented. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /KPR/

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

/VASUDEVAN S. JAGANNATHAN/ SPE TC1700

Examiner's signature, if required